SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2009

Single Audit Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Table of Contents

	Page
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1-2
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in	
Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3-5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6-8
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	9-10
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	11-12
Schedule of Findings and Responses	13-20
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings	21
Supplementary Schedule of the California Emergency Management Agency Grant Expenditures	22



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Supervisors County of Sierra Downieville, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Sierra, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated February 15, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County of Sierra's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County of Sierra's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County of Sierra's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statement is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as items 09-FS-1 and 09-FS-2 to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

Board of Supervisors County of Sierra

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, we consider the significant deficiency described above as items 09-FS-1 and 09-FS-2 to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County of Sierra's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The County of Sierra's response to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. We did not audit the County's response, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, Board of Supervisors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Roseville, California February 15, 2010

Union LIP



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Supervisors County of Sierra Downieville, California

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the County of Sierra, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2009. The County of Sierra's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County of Sierra's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County of Sierra's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County of Sierra's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County of Sierra's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the County of Sierra complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2009. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 09-SA-1 and 09-SA-2.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the County of Sierra is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants

Board of Supervisors County of Sierra

Internal Control Over Compliance (continued)

applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County of Sierra's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County of Sierra's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the entity's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that non-compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 09-FS-1, 09-SA-1, and 09-SA-2. to be significant deficiencies.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material non-compliance with a type of compliance requirements of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. Of the significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we consider items 09-FS-1, 09-SA-1, and 09-SA-2 to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated February 15, 2010. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic

Board of Supervisors County of Sierra

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (continued)

financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The County's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the County's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

The Supplementary Schedule of the California Emergency Management Agency Grant Expenditures, beginning on page 22, has not been subjected to auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, Board of Supervisors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Roseville, California February 15, 2010

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Passed through State Department of Social Services:	10.551		6 212 727
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental	10.551		\$ 213,727
Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561		61,830
Subtotal			275,557
Passed through State Department of Public Health:			
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for			
Women, Infants, and Children	10.557		94,049
Passed through State Controller's Office:			
Schools and Roads - Grants to States	10.665	*	816,275
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			\$ 1,185,881
U.S. Department of the Interior			
Direct Program:	1.5.00.6		202 707
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	15.226		202,707
Total U.S. Department of the Interior			\$ 202,707
U.S. Department of Justice			
Direct Program:			100
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710		102
Passed through California Emergency Management Agency:			
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	VW07130460	17,768
Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program	16.579	DC07170460	821
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	DC07170460	90,071
Subtotal			108,660
Total U.S. Department of Justice			\$ 108,762
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Passed through State Department of Transportation:			
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLS-5913(024)	146
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	RPSTPLE-5913(034)	81,034
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	RPSTPLE-5913(037)	32
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	RPSTPLE-5913(038)	110,758
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO-5913(009)	780
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			\$ 192,750

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	•	Pass-Through Grantor's Number		oursements/ penditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services					
Passed through State Department of Social Services:					
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	*		S	506,169
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556				6,750
Child Welfare Services-State Grants	93.645				34,395
Foster Care-Title IV-E	93.658	*			339,434
Foster Care-Title IV-E-ARRA	93.658	*			3,817
Adoption Assistance	93.659				14,741
Social Services Block Grant	93.667				15,547
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	93.674				19,532
Subtotal					940,385
Passed through State Department of Health Care Services:					
Children's Health Insurance Program	93.767				4,144
Passed through State Department of Public Health:	02.217				40.961
Family Planning Services	93.217				40,861
National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program	93.889				99,817
Grants to Provide Outpatient Early Intervention Services	02.010				2.000
with Respect to HIV Disease	93.918				3,000 17,311
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994 93.994				23,739
Children's Health and Disability Prevention					
Bioterrorism Training and Curriculum Development Program Subtotal	93.996				98,500 283,228
Subjour					
Passed through the State Department of Mental Health:					
Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	93.958				49,654
	7 2 7 2 2				
Passed through State Department of Alcohol and Drug Abuse:					
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	•				
Block Grants for Frevention and Freatment of Substance Assuse	93.959	*			306,677
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				\$	1,584,088
U.S. Department of Homeland Security					
Passed through California Department of Homeland Security:					
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially					
Declared Disasters)	97.036				204,452
					

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures
U.S. Department of Homeland Security (continued) Passed through California Emergency Management Agency: State Homeland Security Program (SHSP) Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program (LETPP) Emergency Management Performance Grants Subtotal	97.073 97.074 97.042	2006-0008	\$ 58,291 52,543 34,643 145,477
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			\$ 349,929
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 3,624,117

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Note 1: Reporting Entity

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal awards programs of the County of Sierra. The County of Sierra's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the County's basic financial statements. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies are included in the schedule.

Note 2: Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is prepared on an accrual basis. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

Note 3: Relationship to Financial Statements

The amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree, in all material respects, to amounts reported within the County's financial statements. Federal award revenues are reported principally in the County's financial statements as intergovernmental revenues in the General and Special Revenue funds.

Note 4: **Program Clusters**

Federal programs, which must be audited together as a program cluster, include the following:

Federal CFDA		
Food Star	np Cluster:	
10.551 10.561	Food Stamps State Administrative Matching Grants for Food	\$ 213,727
10.501	Stamp Program	61,830
	TOTAL	<u>\$ 275,557</u>

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Note 4: Program Clusters (continued)

Homeland Security Cluster:

97.073 97.074	State Homeland Security Program Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program	\$ 58,291 52,543
	TOTAL	\$ 110,834

Note 5: Pass-Through Entities' Identifying Number

When federal awards were received from a pass-through entity, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards shows, if available, the identifying number assigned by the pass-through entity. When no identifying number is shown, the County either determined that no identifying number is assigned for the program or was simply unable to obtain an identifying number from the pass-through entity.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Section 1

Fina	ancial Statements	Summary of Auditor's Results
1.	Type of auditor's report issued:	Unqualified
2.	Internal controls over financial reporting:a. Material weaknesses identified?b. Significant deficiencies identified not	Yes
	considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes
3.	Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No
Fed	eral Awards	
1.	Internal control over major programs: a. Material weaknesses identified? b. Significant deficiencies identified not	Yes
	considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes
2.	Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unqualified
3.	Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular OMB A-133, Section 510(a)?	Yes
4.	Identification of major programs:	
	CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program
	10.665 93.558	Schools and Roads – Grants to States Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
	93.658 93.959	Foster Care Title IV-E Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse
5.	Dollar threshold used to distinguish Between Type A and Type B programs?	\$ 300,000
6.	Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee under OMB Circular A-133, Section 530?	No

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Section 2

Financial Statement Findings

Preparation of Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards	09-FS-1
Accounts Receivable	09-FS-2

Section 3

Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

CFDA 93.558	09-SA-01
CFDA 93.658	09-SA-02

Schedule of Findings and Responses Financial Statement Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Finding/Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 09-FS-1

Financial Statement and SEFA Preparation Reporting Requirement: Material Weakness

Preparation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Criteria

OMB Circular A-133 § 310(b) provides that auditees undergoing a federal single audit prepare a Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) listing individual programs by federal agency and Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number. Statement on Auditing Standard No. 112, Communicating Internal Control Related Matters Identified in a Audit (SAS 112), was recently issued and applies to the County's current year fiscal audit. The standard provides guidance in that if an entity is unable to draft its own financial statements, which include the SEFA, there may be a material weakness or significant deficiency.

The County must have proper internal controls over financial reporting in place. Proper internal controls over financial reporting include, but are not limited to, internal controls that identify misstatements in the SEFA, retaining staff competent in SEFA reporting and related oversight roles, and adequate design of internal control over the preparation of the SEFA. Good internal controls and Circular A-133 require the County to do a reconciliation between the expenditures reported on the general ledger and the federal expenditures reported on the SEFA.

Condition

In the process of reviewing the SEFA, we noted that several program federal expenditures amounts had not been reconciled to the general ledger.

Effect of Condition

Undetected omission of federal expenditures from the SEFA could lead to action by federal and state grantor agencies, and may result in additional audit costs if the omitted information leads to changes in audit requirements.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Financial Statement Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Finding/Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 09-FS-1 (continued)

Preparation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Recommendation

We recommend that the County conduct a training session with Department fiscal staff on SEFA preparation, and that a reconciliation between the general ledger and the federal expenditure number for each program be required from Departments. We further recommend that the procedures require that a person in the Auditor Controller's Office review these reconciliations on a test basis, to determine that they are in fact complete and accurate.

Management Response

The County Auditor's Office will conduct trainings on preparation of a proper reconciliation of all grant or federal award programs to the County general ledger with each claim made, or at lease quarterly, for every program. The reconciliation shall include a full description of the program, federal agency, and CFDA number. These reconciliations shall be turned in to the Auditor's Office for review.

The Auditor-Controller at 530.289.3273 is the contact person.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Financial Statement Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Finding/Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 09-FS-2

Accounts Receivable

Reporting Requirement: Material Weakness

Accounts Receivable

Criteria

During its year end closing process, the County should establish and enforce policies and procedures to ensure that year end accruals are properly identified and recorded for all account balances, including revenues and receivables.

Condition

During the audit, we noted material receivables in the Road Fund, Human Services, and COPS Fund that should have been accrued and were not. The total amount of the related audit adjustment was \$282,420.

Effect of Condition

By not properly accounting for accruable revenues in the current fiscal year, the County is significantly understating its accounts receivable at the end of the year.

Recommendation

We recommend that the County enforce its policy that all accruable revenues be submitted in a timely manner to the Auditor-Controller's Office for revenue recognition purposes. By enforcing its policy, the County will improve its year end closing process for identifying the appropriate year end accruals and reduce the risk of material misstatement in their annual financial statements.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Financial Statement Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Line	lina	Dro	gram
ГШС	шц	/ FIO	gram

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 09-FS-2 (continued)

Management Response

Accounts Receivable The Auditor concurs with the finding. The Auditor's office will conduct training with the departments on identifying receivables. All claims for funding that have not been received at June 30 shall be given to the Auditor for booking prior to August 30 of each year. All revenues received prior to the audit that was not anticipated but is for the prior year shall be noted on the Deposit Permit by the Department and highlighted.

The Auditor-Controller at 530.289.3273 is the contact person.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Finding/Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 09-SA-1

Temporary Assistance for

Needy Families (TANF)

CFDA 93.558

Federal Grantor:

U.S. Department of Health and Human

Services

Pass-Through Entity:

Reporting Requirement:

State Department of Social Services Compliance Requirement: Eligibility/Special Tests (IEVS) Material Weakness and Material

Noncompliance in Relation to a Compliance Supplement Audit Objective

Award No. n/a Year: 2008/2009

Criteria

As required by § 1137 of the Social Security Act, income and benefit information from the Income Eligibility and Verification System (IEVS) database must be requested and used when making eligibility determinations. The County must review and compare the information obtained from IEVS against information contained in the case record to determine whether it affects the individual's eligibility or level of assistance, benefits or services.

Condition

We tested 29 cases and noted that three were missing the IEVS required to be kept in the case file. We also noted 3 cases where the IEVS had not been signed and dated by the Eligibility Worker.

Questioned Costs

We question no costs.

Perspective

We noted that the IEVS were missing or had not been signed and dated by the Eligibility Worker for 13 individuals. In the 29 cases tested, we tested 61 individuals to determine if they had current IEVS. The error rate in the sample was therefore 13 / 61 = 21.31%.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Finding/Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 09-SA-1 (continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) CFDA 93.558

Effect of Condition

The IEVS system is an elaborate, federally-mandated system which compiles government information for the purpose of tracking federal program eligibility data. Not requesting and using IEVS in eligibility determination can result in individuals receiving benefits to which they are not entitled.

Recommendation

We recommend improved supervisory review of the presence of an IEVS for all aided members in the case file and the signature of the eligibility worker denoting that the IEVS has been used in eligibility determination.

Corrective Action Plan

All new applications and re-applications for the TANF program will be reviewed by the supervisor prior to benefit issuance. Any cases with missing or un-signed IEVS will be returned to the eligibility worker for completion. In the event that emergency services are requested by the client and IEVS is not available within the mandated time frames for issuance or IEVS system interruptions exists, the case will be authorized without an IEVS report present and follow up actions will be taken by both eligibility worker and eligibility supervisor.

The Integrated Casework Supervisor at 530.993.6725 is the contact person.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Federal Award Findings and Ouestioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Finding/Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 09-SA-2

Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658

Award No. n/a Year: 2008/2009 Federal Grantor:

U.S. Department of Health and Human

Services

Pass-Through Entity:

State Department of Social Services

Compliance Requirement: Eligibility Reporting Requirement:

Material Weakness and Material Noncompliance in Relation to a

Compliance Supplement Audit Objective

Criteria

A child must meet the eligibility requirements of the former Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program, that is, meeting the State established standard of need as of July 16, 1996, prior to enactment of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act. (42 USC 672(a)).

Condition

We tested eligibility in 12 Foster Care cases. One case was missing the required documentation to support AFDC eligibility needed prior to placing the children in the foster family homes.

Ouestioned Costs

No costs are questioned because we were able to determine that each of these cases were eligible for federal funding based on alternate documents.

Perspective |

It appears that the County neglected to receive and/or review satisfactory AFDC eligibility requirements for children living in foster family homes prior to placing the children in these foster family homes. The error rate for the sample was 1/12 = 8.33%.

Effect of the Condition

Without appropriate documentation, the County could be placing children in foster family homes or child-care institutions and using federal funds when all eligibility requirements for federal funds have not been satisfied.

Schedule of Findings and Responses
Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs
For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Finding/Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 09-SA-2 (continued)

Recommendation

Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658 We recommend the County review its internal controls for County employees to track and review eligibility records to ensure that all children living in foster family homes have satisfactorily met AFDC eligibility requirements prior to placing the children in foster family homes. We suggest that no case be approved for federal Foster Care without the required AFDC eligibility documents in the file.

Corrective Action Plan

An intake foster care checklist is being developed and the FC3 form is included on that list. The eligibility supervisor will review all new Foster Care cases prior to benefit issuance and assure that the FC3 is present. If the FC3 is missing the case will be returned to the eligibility worker and benefits will not be issued until the FC3 is present.

The Integrated Casework Supervisor at 530.993.6725 is the contact person.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Audit Reference Number	Stat	tus of Prior Year Audit Findings	
N/A	None Reported		

SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF THE OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES GRANT EXPENDITURES

Supplementary Schedule of the California Emergency Management Agency Grant Expenditures For the Year Ended June 30, 2009

California Emergency Management Agency

The following represents expenditures for California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA) programs for the year ended June 30, 2009. The amount reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is determined by calculating the federal portion of the current year expenditures.

	Expenditures Claimed							Share of Expenditures Current Year					
Program	For the Year Ended June 30, 2008		For the Year Ended June 30, 2009		Cumulative As of June 30, 2009		Federal Share		State Share		County Share		
VW08140460 - Victim W	itness A	Assistance P	rogra	m									
Personal services	\$	57,809	\$	47,210	\$	105,019	\$	17,768	\$	29,442	\$		
Operating expenses		15,500		2,964		18,464				2,964			
Equipment													
Totals	\$	73,309	<u>\$</u>	50,174	\$	123,483	\$	17,768	\$	32,406	\$		
DC08180460 - Anti-Drug	, Abuse	Enforcemen	nt Pro	gram									
Personal services	\$	67,316	\$	13,796	\$	81,112	\$		\$	13,796	\$		
Operating expenses		3,922		52,644		56,566				52,644			
Equipment													
Totals	\$	71,238	\$	66,440	\$	137,678	\$		\$	66,440	\$		